Recommended Guidelines for State Courts Handling Cases Involving Elder Abuse

by Lori A. Stiegel, J.D.
Associate Staff Director
ABA Commission on Legal Problems of the Elderly
Recommended Guidelines for State Courts Handling Cases Involving Elder Abuse

by Lori A. Stiegel, J.D.
Associate Staff Director
ABA Commission on Legal Problems of the Elderly

ABA

SJI
State Justice Institute
This document was developed under Grant # SJI-93-12J-E-274 from the State Justice Institute. The points of view expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the State Justice Institute.

The views expressed herein have not been approved by the House of Delegates or the Board of Governors of the American Bar Association except where otherwise indicated, and, accordingly, should not be construed as representing the policy of the American Bar Association.

Copyright © 1995 by the American Bar Association, Washington, D.C.

ISBN 1-57073-306-6
ABOUT THE ABA COMMISSION ON LEGAL PROBLEMS OF THE ELDERLY...

The Commission on Legal Problems of the Elderly is dedicated to examining the law-related concerns of older persons. Established by the American Bar Association in 1978, the Commission has sought to improve legal services for the elderly, particularly through involvement of the private bar, and has explored legal issues surrounding long-term care, surrogate decision making, individual rights, guardianship, housing, social security, and other public benefit programs. The 15-member multidisciplinary Commission includes lawyers, judges, physicians, professors, aging network leaders, and advocates for older persons.

ABOUT THE STATE JUSTICE INSTITUTE...

The State Justice Institute (SJI) was established by Federal law in 1984 to award grants to improve the quality of justice in the State courts, facilitate better coordination and information sharing between State and Federal courts, and foster innovative, efficient solutions to common problems faced by all courts. Since it became operational in 1987, SJI has awarded over $80 million to support more than 800 projects benefiting the nation's judicial system and the public it serves. Institute matching requirements also have enabled these projects to benefit from more than $20 million in support from other public and private sources.

The Institute is unique in both its mission and the ways it seeks to fulfill its statutory duties. Only SJI has the authority to assist all State courts -- criminal, civil, juvenile, family, and appellate -- and only SJI has the mandate to share the success of one State's innovations with every State and Federal court system. SJI carries out its unique national mission by —

- Underwriting national evaluations of promising State and local approaches;
- Serving as an information clearinghouse to assure that any one State court's successful innovations can be quickly and economically shared with every other State's courts;
- Establishing national resource centers where State and local judges and court officials can, on an ongoing basis, test new technologies, observe new approaches, and sit in classroom settings to learn from each other;
- Facilitating national meetings of key players from every State to exchange good ideas, identify continuing problem areas, and propose effective and efficient local, State, and national solutions; and
- Delivering national State-to-State "technical assistance" targeted at a jurisdiction's specific problems.

SJI also plays an important role in the national response to crime by educating judges about the nature and impact of family violence, drugs, rape, sexual assault, juvenile crime, and other crimes of violence; demonstrating the effectiveness of new trial and sentencing approaches; and collaborating, where appropriate, with the Department of Justice and other Federal agencies on important efforts to improve the criminal justice system and enhance public protection.
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Since 1978, the American Bar Association (ABA) Commission on Legal Problems of the Elderly (Commission) has focused many of its efforts on strengthening the due process rights of older persons and persons with disabilities and on enhancing the responsiveness of the judicial system to those persons. This project, titled "Recommended Guidelines for the State Courts in Handling Elder Abuse Cases," furthers those efforts to assist in meeting the legal needs of older persons who have been abused, neglected, or exploited.

The project, which was funded by the State Justice Institute and through the ABA Fund for Justice and Education, had as its goal the development of recommended guidelines for the state courts in handling elder abuse cases. This goal was accomplished through the extensive participation of close to three hundred individuals of diverse backgrounds -- judges, court personnel, private and publicly-funded lawyers, prosecutors, staff from several State Medicaid Fraud Control Units, Assistant Attorneys General, Adult Protective Services workers and administrators, aging services providers, health care professionals, researchers in the field of elder abuse, law enforcement officers, public guardians, state and local long term care ombudsmen, advocates for older persons, state legal services developers, law school professors, victim/witness advocates, and a few persons who fell into a miscellaneous category. These individuals took part in the project as members of its advisory committee, as reviewers of the draft study instruments, as respondents in the two long and difficult rounds of the Delphi Study, and as participants in nine focus groups. Participants were invited and selected for the project based on their reputation for expertise, interest, and leadership in their state court and/or in the fields of aging or elder abuse. The Commission is indebted to them for their efforts in developing the recommendations which are the subject of this report.

This project and its recommendations come at a fortuitous time in the field of elder abuse, which is currently undergoing a shift in focus from the provision of protective services to the utilization and expansion of legal remedies to address abuse, neglect, and exploitation. That change in how we respond to elder abuse, combined with the growth of the older populations and other demographic and societal changes, means that the court system will be faced with increasing numbers of cases involving elder abuse. Hopefully, these recommendations and the wealth of other information that was developed by this project will benefit judges and court staff as they deal with the difficult issues and problems presented in elder abuse cases; will stimulate statutory and procedural changes; and will enhance closer coordination between the judicial, aging, and adult protection systems.
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